

AD-A085 095

CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES ALEXANDRIA VA INST OF NAVAL--ETC F/6 15/5
DELAYING AN OVERHAUL & SHIP'S EQUIPMENT. (U)
MAY 80 L GOLDBERG
CNA-PP-276

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

10-1
ACA
7045/280

END
DATE
FILMED
7-80
DTIC

5c

PROFESSIONAL PAPER 276 / May 1980

2

LEVEL

ADA 085095

DELAYING AN OVERHAUL & SHIP'S EQUIPMENT

Lawrence Goldberg

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 3 1980
C

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.



CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311

DDC FILE COPY

80 6 2 109

The ideas expressed in this paper are those of the author. The paper does not necessarily represent the views of either the Center for Naval Analyses or the Department of Defense.

(9) PROFESSIONAL PAPER 276 May 1980

12547

(6) **DELAYING AN OVERHAUL
& SHIP'S EQUIPMENT.**

(11) Lawrence/Goldberg

(14) CNA-PP-276



Institute of Naval Studies

CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311

403542

LB

ABSTRACT

↓

This study analyzes the effects on a ship's equipment of delaying an overhaul. Equipment condition is measured with data on 14,000 CASREPTs for destroyer-type ships in 1970-75; and regression analysis is used to measure the effects of delaying a ship's overhaul, holding constant the effects of other factors such as a ship's steaming history, class, and fleet. The frequency of CASREPTs as well as their maintenance and parts' supply times are separately analyzed. The findings suggest that delaying a ship's overhaul for one year would not adversely affect the condition of its equipment.

↖

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS GRA&I | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| DOC TAB | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unpublished | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Classification | <i>Rev</i> |
| <i>into a file</i> | |
| Responsible | |
| Special Agent in Charge | |
| Dist | Area and/or special |
| <i>A</i> | |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to my colleagues at CNA, especially Stan Horowitz and Allan Sherman, who made numerous helpful comments throughout the study. I would also like to thank Claire Hughes and Barbara Measell who did the computer programming.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| List of tables | iv |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Method | 3 |
| CASREPT rate | 5 |
| Regression model specification | 5 |
| Findings | 7 |
| Maintenance downtime per CASREPT | 15 |
| Regression model specification | 15 |
| Findings | 19 |
| Supply downtime per CASREPT | 25 |
| Regression model specification | 25 |
| Findings | 29 |
| Delaying overhauls and CASREPT downtime | 34 |
| Conclusions | 36 |
| References | 38 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u> | | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Analysis of CASREPT downtime for destroyers, 1970-75 | 4 |
| 2 | Definitions, mean values and standard deviations of CASREPT rate model variables | 8 |
| 3 | CASREPT rate regression findings | 10 |
| 4 | Definitions, mean values and standard deviations of C2 maintenance downtime model variables | 17 |
| 5 | Definitions, mean values and standard deviations of C3/C4 maintenance downtime model variables | 18 |
| 6 | Maintenance regression findings | 20 |
| 7 | Definitions, mean values and standard deviations of C2 supply time model variables | 27 |
| 8 | Definitions, mean values and standard deviations of C3/C4 supply time model variables | 28 |
| 9 | Supply time regression findings | 30 |
| 10 | Effects on CASREPT downtime of delaying an overhaul for one year | 35 |

DELAYING AN OVERHAUL AND SHIP'S EQUIPMENT

INTRODUCTION

The frequency of overhauls has a large effect on the Navy's operating and shipbuilding costs. In FY 1978, an overhaul for a destroyer-type ship cost \$15 million, and the total expenditure for these ships -- CGs, DDGs, FFs, and FFGs -- was \$525 million (reference 37, p. 59). The typical destroyer is out of service due to overhauls about 20 percent of the time, so the fleet has to be increased by that percentage to maintain effectiveness.¹

Overhauls are done to prevent increases in equipment downtime. Unfortunately, the value of reduced downtime is difficult to measure. Still, the large costs of an overhaul would seem to be justified only if it prevents sharp increases in equipment downtime.

The purpose of this study is to estimate the effects of delaying an overhaul on a ship's equipment downtime. Not all ships are

¹Estimate of 18 percent was obtained from the Navy (Op-964). It is a best guess of ship unavailability due to overhauls. Due to other factors, however, actual unavailability is greater than 18 percent.

overhauled after the same months of service. This variability enables us to examine the effects of delaying an overhaul.

A CASualty REPort or CASREPT is supposed to be filed when a ship has a serious equipment failure which impairs the ship's ability to carry out current and future assignments and which will take some measurable time to repair. Previous studies [10] and [32] have concluded that CASREPT downtime is an important indicator of equipment condition. Consequently, CASREPT data are used to measure equipment condition.

The effect of delaying an overhaul on CASREPT downtime is estimated for destroyer-type ships using regression analysis. Data on CASREPTs were obtained for 91 destroyers, cruisers, and frigates for the part of a ship's cycle that occurred after January 1970. The data are from the Navy's Consolidated CASREPT Reporting System. The sample includes observations on 10,000 less serious C2 and 4,000 more serious C3 and C4 CASREPTs for the period January 1970-July 1975.

METHOD

CASREPT downtime per month depends on the monthly CASREPT rate (C) and downtime per CASREPT. Downtime per CASREPT consists of two components: (1) supply time (S), the period a CASREPT is deferred for parts, and (2) maintenance time (M), the period a CASREPT is deferred for administrative delays and actual hands-on repairs.

As displayed in table 1, about 50 percent of CASREPTs occur because parts are unavailable. These are called "parts CASREPTs." Downtime first would consist of time waiting for parts, i.e., supply time, and then maintenance time. About 40 percent of downtime is supply time and 60 percent is maintenance time.

The other 50 percent of CASREPTs occur because the crew does not have the expertise or tools required to repair the broken equipment; in these cases downtime is all maintenance time. Equipment would be repaired with assistance of other "repair echelons," such as a tender ship (IMA), a shipyard, or an expert who is brought to the ship.¹

¹A CASREPT could also occur if administrative delays prevent equipment from being repaired within a short period. This is a more likely possibility for less serious C2 CASREPTs than it is for C3/C4s.

TABLE 1

ANALYSIS OF CASREPT DOWNTIME FOR DESTROYERS, 1970-75

| CASREPT | Average number of CASREPTs per month (C) | Average hours of supply time for parts CASREPTs (S) ^a | Percent of all CASREPTs needing parts (P) | Average hours of maintenance time for all CASREPTs (M) | Average hours of downtime per CASREPT ^b (D) | Average hours of downtime per month Cx D | Expected percent of downtime due to supply (.01 PS ÷ D) |
|---------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| C2 | 4.14 | 611 | 54.44 | 541 | 874 | 3618 | 38 |
| C3/C4 | 1.55 | 454 | 50.78 | 361 | 592 | 918 | 39 |

 a"parts CASREPTs" are those requiring parts that were not on board.

bD = .01(PS) + M.

Source: Consolidated CASREPT Reporting System.

Through a literature review and discussions with Navy personnel, regression models for C, S, and M are specified which include time since last overhaul and other factors as explanatory variables. Estimates of the effects of delaying an overhaul on C, S, and M are obtained from the regression models. These are used to calculate the effects on CASREPT downtime of delaying an overhaul for one year.

CASREPT RATE

Regression Model Specification

Direct determinants of the CASREPT rate are listed below together with the expected direction of their effects.

1. Past utilization of equipment (+)
2. Current utilization of equipment (+)
3. Age of equipment (+)
4. Degree of equipment complexity (+)
5. Favorable external conditions (-)
6. Condition of equipment after overhaul (-)
7. Training of personnel (-)
8. Number of equipment starts (+)
9. Initial stock of parts on board (-)
10. Funds allowance for parts (-)
11. Willingness of command to report CASREPTs (+)

Data are unavailable on most of these determinants. To overcome data problems, we identified relationships between them and measurable explanatory variables, which are given below. If we

could identify it, the direction of an explanatory variable's effect on a direct determinant is indicated. We also include "number of months since Jan 1970" to test for time trends.

1. Past utilization of equipment (+):
Past steaming underway (+)¹
Past steaming not underway (+)
Time since overhaul (+)
2. Current utilization of equipment (+):
Current steaming underway (+)
Current steaming not underway (+)
3. Age of equipment (+):
Time since overhaul (+)
4. Degree of equipment complexity (+):
Ship class (?)
5. Favorable external conditions (-):
No measurable explanatory variables identified
6. Good condition of equipment after overhaul (-):
Atlantic or Pacific Fleet (?)
7. Training of personnel (-):
Current steaming underway (+)
Current steaming not underway (+)
Past steaming underway (+)
Past steaming not underway (+)
Time since overhaul (+)
8. Number of equipment starts (+):
No data available
9. Stock of parts on board (-):

¹The number of hours steaming underway is a measure of operating tempo: the crew is relatively active operating the ship and undertaking training exercises. Hours steaming not underway are periods of relative inactivity. Although energy needs are met from its own boilers, a ship's systems are operated at a slower pace and the crew undertakes fewer training exercises. Hours not steaming are periods of minimum activity: a ship's boilers are turned off and energy is provided from shore through an electrical hookup.

- Fleet (?)
- Time since overhaul (-)
- 10. Funds allowance for parts (-):
 - Fleet (?)
- 11. Willingness of command to report CASREPTs (+):
 - Fleet (?)
 - Point in the deployment cycle:
 - Months 1, 2, 3 and 4 after overhaul (+)
 - Months 1, 2, 3 and 4 prior to overhaul (-).

The definitions, means and standard deviations of regression variables are given in table 2. Except for the dummy variables, months 1, 2, 3 and 4 before and after overhaul, the direction of a regression variable's effect cannot be predicted a priori, a result which may not critically depend on our particular specification of relationships between explanatory variables and determinants.¹ Signs of regression variables, such as time since overhaul, past steaming underway, etc., are ambiguous because each is related to several direct determinants which have different effects qualitatively. These real world complexities make it impossible to understand the reasons for the measured effect of a regression variable.

Findings

Pooled time series cross section data on 2468 ship-months are used to analyze the CASREPT rate for C2 and C3/C4 CASREPTs. Regression

¹An alternative system of relationships would probably also yield ambiguous theoretical results.

TABLE 2

DEFINITIONS, MEAN VALUES AND STANDARD
DEVIATIONS OF CASREPT RATE MODEL VARIABLES

| Variables | Mean values | Standard deviations |
|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Monthly number C2 CASREPTs | 4.14 | 3.61 |
| Monthly number C3/C4 CASREPTs | 1.55 | 1.89 |
| Monthly steaming hours underway | 247.8 | 197.2 |
| Total past steaming hours underway since overhaul | 5576.7 | 2996.5 |
| Monthly steaming hours not underway | 139.4 | 131.2 |
| Total past hours steaming not underway since overhaul | 3653.0 | 2306.4 |
| Number of months since Jan 1970 | 20.4 | 12.3 |
| Number of months since overhaul | 22.1 | 12.0 |
| Overhaul cycle variables: | | |
| One if first month after overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.016 | .12 |
| One if second month after overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.017 | .13 |
| One if third month after overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.017 | .13 |
| One if fourth month after overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.017 | .13 |
| One if first month before overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.034 | .18 |
| One if second month before overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.034 | .18 |
| One if third month before overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.034 | .18 |
| One if fourth month before overhaul, zero otherwise | 0.033 | .18 |
| Ship classes: | | |
| FRAMS: Benchmark ship class | 0.32 | .47 |
| DD 931 | 0.079 | .27 |
| DDG 2 | 0.18 | .38 |
| DDG 31 | 0.019 | .14 |
| DDG 35 | 0.018 | .13 |
| DDG 40 | 0.0073 | .085 |
| FF 1036 One if in ship class, zero otherwise | 0.0073 | .085 |
| FF 1037 | 0.073 | .26 |
| FF 1040 | 0.095 | .29 |
| FF 1052 | 0.041 | .20 |
| FFG 1 | 0.026 | .16 |
| CG 16 | 0.049 | .22 |
| CG 26 | 0.084 | .28 |
| Fleet: one if Atlantic, zero otherwise | 0.48 | .50 |

results, given in table 3, are obtained using the ordinary least squares estimation procedure.

The F statistics indicate both regressions are statistically significant at the .01 level. The R^2 s of 0.14 for C2 and 0.096 for C3/C4 CASREPTs are low, which is not unusual for models estimated with time series cross section data. The low R^2 values indicate a large fraction of serious equipment failures is still random, but some of it can be explained.

The "elasticity" is the percent change in a dependent variable due to a one percent change in an explanatory variable. If the elasticity equals one in absolute value, a variable has a proportional effect, e.g., if x changes by 10 percent y also changes by 10 percent. Estimates of elasticities are included, where applicable, to help one determine the relative importance of a regression variable's effect.¹

A number of variables are statistically significant and have large elasticities. Our most important findings are that past steaming and time since overhaul have large but opposite effects, and that Atlantic fleet ships have higher CASREPT rates.

¹Due to rounding, elasticities computed by the reader may differ slightly from those reported in the tables.

TABLE 3

CASREPT RATE REGRESSION FINDINGS

| Variable | CASREPTS | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Effect ^a | Elasticity ^b | Effect ^a | Elasticity ^b |
| | C2 | | C3/C4 | |
| Current hours steaming underway per month | .00216 (5.75) _C | .129 | .00008 (.39) | .012 |
| Total past steaming hours underway since overhaul | -.00049 (6.52) _C | -.661 | -.00018 (4.39) _C | -.639 |
| Current hours steaming not underway per month | .00143 (2.45) _C | .048 | .00104 (3.30) _C | .092 |
| Total past hours steaming not underway since overhaul | -.00013 (1.63) | -.114 | -.00001 (.22) | -.022 |
| Number of months since Jan 1970 | -.00205 (.22) | -.010 | .00128 (.26) | .016 |
| Number of months since overhaul | .12909 (5.42) _C | .688 | .05842 (4.57) _C | .831 |
| Dummy variables: | | | | |
| First month after overhaul | 1.11332 (1.95) _C | | .45678 (1.49) | |
| Second month after overhaul | .06988 (.12) | | .27744 (.93) | |
| Third month after overhaul | (-.29071 (.53) | | .03801 (.12) | |
| Fourth month after overhaul | -.07861 (.14) | | -.28703 (.98) | |

TABLE 3 (Cont'd)

| Variables | CASREPTS | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | C2 | C3/C4 |
| | Effect ^a | Effect ^a |
| First month before overhaul | -2.11825 _C (5.23) | -.83522 _C (3.84) |
| Second month before overhaul | -1.07911 _C (2.72) | -.47636 _C (2.23) |
| Third month before overhaul | -.73260 _C (1.86) | -.58172 _C (2.76) |
| Fourth month before overhaul | -.50262 _C (1.27) | -.11158 _C (.54) |
| DD 931 | 1.81859 _C (6.65) | .00901 _C (.06) |
| DDG 2 | 1.23585 _C (5.39) | .05894 _C (.47) |
| DDG 31 | .76626 _C (1.41) | -.19032 _C (.65) |
| DDG 35 | .88488 _C (1.64) | .27104 _C (.94) |
| DDG 40 | -2.20916 _C (2.43) | -1.23961 _C (2.54) |
| FF 1036 | .84500 _C (1.03) | -.62049 _C (1.41) |

TABLE 3 (Cont'd)

| Variable | CASREPTS | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | C2 | C3/C4 |
| | Effect ^a | Effect ^a |
| FF 1037 | -.83358 (2.55) ^c | -.03722 (.21) |
| FF 1040 | -.83242 (2.79) ^c | -.10882 (.68) |
| FF 1052 | -.95217 (2.36) ^c | .84612 (3.92) ^c |
| FFG 1 | 1.27900 (2.68) ^c | 1.16820 (4.57) ^c |
| CG 16 | 1.55460 (4.38) ^c | .28217 (1.48) |
| CG 26 | 1.00975 (3.58) ^c | .36707 (2.42) ^c |
| Fleet | .092258 (5.60) ^c | .61665 (6.98) ^c |
| Constant | 3.11 | .76 |
| Summary Statistics F(27,2440) | 14.76 | 9.57 |
| R ² | .14 | .096 |

Sources: Steaming and Fuel Data Master Files and Consolidated CASREPT Reporting Systems

^at-values given in parentheses.

^bCalculated at the arithmetic means.

^cStatistically significant at .05 level.

Months since overhaul has a statistically significant and positive effect: about 0.129 for C2 and 0.058 for C3/C4 CASREPTs. If other factors were held fixed, the C3/C4 CASREPT rate would increase over time according to the formula

$$\Delta C3/C4 = 0.058 \Delta t \quad (1)$$

where t = months since overhaul.

In 1970-75, an overhaul for these ships was undertaken after about 3.5 years of service. If it was delayed for one year, the increase in the number of C3/C4 CASREPTs over the year would be given by

$$\Delta C3/C4^T = 0.058 \sum_{t=1}^{12} \Delta t = 4.52 \quad (2)$$

However, to estimate the net effect of delaying an overhaul, we must include the effects of all variables that change over time, i.e., past steaming underway, past steaming not underway, and time since 1970. Using average steaming rates per month to estimate increases in past steaming variables, equations (1) and (2) become

$$\Delta C2 = -0.0126\Delta t \quad (3a)$$

$$\Delta C3/C4 = 0.0138\Delta t \quad (3b)$$

and

$$\Delta C2^T = -0.0126 \sum_{\Delta t=1}^{12} \Delta t = -0.98 \quad (4a)$$

$$\Delta C3/C4^T = 0.0138 \sum_{\Delta t=1}^{12} \Delta t = 1.07 \quad (4b)$$

Using equation (4b), we estimate that if an overhaul were delayed for a year there would be only about one more C3/C4 CASREPT.

Using equation (4a), we estimate there would be a decline of about one C2 CASREPT. The results have the following implication: if an overhaul were undertaken after 4.5 years instead of 3.5, there would not be a serious deterioration of equipment condition because of increases in the number of CASREPTs.¹

¹Our results would be invalid if ships with higher CASREPT rates were overhauled first, i.e., earlier in their cycles. However, we know of no evidence that a ship's CASREPT rate was considered as a factor in the scheduling of overhauls.

MAINTENANCE DOWNTIME PER CASREPT

Determinant Model Specification

Direct determinants of maintenance per CASREPT are listed below together with the expected direction of their effects.

1. Operating demands for ship maintenance (+)
2. Quality and quantity of ship's maintenance personnel (-)
3. Whether CASREPT for parts (-)
4. Degree of equipment complexity (+)
5. Technical difficulty of required maintenance (+)
6. Supply of intermediate level maintenance resources (-)
7. Supply of ship's other maintenance resources (-)
8. Whether repaired off ship (+)
9. Whether period of overhaul quality control (+)¹

As in the CASREPT rate analysis, to overcome data problems we identified relationships between direct determinants and measurable explanatory variables. These relationships are given below. If we could identify it, the direction of an explanatory variable's effect on a direct determinant is indicated.

1. Operating demands for maintenance personnel (+):
 - Current steaming underway (+)
 - Past steaming underway (-)
 - Current steaming not underway (+)
 - Past steaming not underway (-)
 - Whether a C3/C4 CASREPT (-)
2. Supply of maintenance personnel (-):
 - Past steaming underway (+)
 - Current steaming underway (+)

¹Just after an overhaul there may be a quality control period during which personnel spend extra time repairing CASREPTs.

- Past steaming not underway (+)
 - Current steaming not underway (?)
 - Whether C3/C4 CASREPT (+)
 - Time since overhaul (+)
3. Whether CASREPT for parts (-):
 - Measured directly by whether a CASREPT had any supply downtime
 4. Degree of equipment complexity (+):¹
 - Past steaming underway (?)
 - Past steaming not underway (?)
 - Repair echelon (?)
 - Ship class (?)
 - Time since overhaul (?)
 5. Technical difficulty of required maintenance (+):
 - Current steaming underway (?)
 - Current steaming not underway (?)
 - Repair echelon (?)
 - Ship class (?)
 - Time since overhaul (?)
 6. Supply of intermediate level maintenance resources (-):
 - Fleet (?)
 7. Supply of ship's other maintenance resources (e.g., diagnostic equipment, etc.) (-):
 - No explanatory variable identified
 8. Repaired off ship (+)
 - Repair echelon (+)
 9. Whether period of overhaul quality control (+)
 - Months 1,2,3, and 4 after overhaul (+)

The result is a regression model having the variables listed in tables 4 and 5. We also include "number of months since January 1970" to test for a time trend.

¹Average equipment complexity for a CASREPT depends on the number and mix of CASREPTs among subsystems. We assume it is measured by the factors listed.

TABLE 4

DEFINITIONS, MEAN VALUES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS
OF C2 MAINTENANCE DOWNTIME MODEL VARIABLES

| Variables | Mean values | Standard deviations |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| Hours of C2 CASREPT downtime due to maintenance | 540.8 ^a | 1089.7 |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to maintenance downtime (current steaming underway) | .318 | .249 |
| Total hours of steaming in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 5.054 | 2.902 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not underway to maintenance downtime (current steaming not underway) | .198 | .174 |
| Total hours of steaming not underway in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 3.545 | 2.249 |
| Months since Jan 1970 | 19.4 | 11.8 |
| Months since overhaul | 20.8 | 11.7 |
| The following are dummy variables: | | |
| If there was any downtime due to supply = 1 | .54 | .50 |
| Ship classes: | | |
| FRAM: Benchmark class | .29 | .45 |
| DD 931 | .10 | .31 |
| DDG 2 | .21 | .41 |
| DDG 31 | .018 | .13 |
| DDG 35 | .019 | .14 |
| FF 1036 | .0026 | .051 |
| FF 1037 | .0074 | .086 |
| FF 1040 | .058 | .23 |
| FF 1052 | .074 | .26 |
| FFG 1 | .028 | .16 |
| DDG 40 | .031 | .17 |
| CG 16 | .063 | .24 |
| CG 26 | .092 | .29 |
| Repair echelon: | | |
| Repair by ship's crew is benchmark echelon | .55 | .50 |
| If repaired with technical assistance = 1 | .19 | .39 |
| If repaired at IMA = 1 | .26 | .44 |
| If repaired at overhaul = 1 | .0014 | .037 |
| If repaired at drydock = 1 | .0020 | .044 |
| Months since overhaul dummy variables: | | |
| One month after overhaul | .0015 | .038 |
| Two months after overhaul | .0020 | .044 |
| Three months after overhaul | .0020 | .044 |
| Four months after overhaul | .0025 | .050 |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 if Pacific | .52 | .50 |

^aGeometric mean is 143.9

TABLE 5

DEFINITIONS, MEAN VALUES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS
OF C3/C4 MAINTENANCE DOWNTIME MODEL VARIABLES

| Variables | Mean values | Standard deviations |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|
| Hours of C3/C4 CASREPT downtime due to maintenance | 361.0 ^a | 735.5 |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to maintenance downtime (current steaming underway) | .303 | .243 |
| Total hours of steaming in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 5.325 | 2.970 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not underway to maintenance downtime (current steaming not underway) | .197 | .177 |
| Total hours of steaming not underway in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 3.747 | 2.401 |
| Months since Jan 1970 | 20.29 | 12.3 |
| Months since overhaul | 22.25 | 12.4 |
| The following are dummy variables: | | |
| If there was any downtime due to supply = 1 | .51 | .50 |
| Ship classes: | | |
| FRAM: Benchmark class | .32 | .47 |
| DD 931 | .078 | .27 |
| DDG 2 | .18 | .38 |
| DDG 31 | .0098 | .098 |
| DDG 35 | .018 | .13 |
| FF 1036 | .0005 | .022 |
| FF 1037 | .0023 | .048 |
| FF 1040 | .071 | .26 |
| FF 1052 | .077 | .27 |
| FFG 1 | .051 | .22 |
| DDG 40 | .046 | .21 |
| CG 16 | .058 | .23 |
| CG 26 | .094 | .29 |
| Repair echelon: | | |
| Repair by ship's crew is benchmark echelon | .48 | .50 |
| If repaired with technical assistance = 1 | .23 | .42 |
| If repaired at IMA = 1 | .28 | .45 |
| If repaired at overhaul = 1 | .0018 | .042 |
| If repaired at drydock = 1 | .0008 | .028 |
| Months since overhaul dummy variables: | | |
| One month after overhaul | .0015 | .039 |
| Two months after overhaul | .0023 | .048 |
| Three months after overhaul | .0021 | .045 |
| Four months after overhaul | .0010 | .032 |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 if Pacific | .57 | .50 |

^aGeometric mean is 111.9

Except for the dummy variables, whether CASREPT for parts (-), whether a C3/C4 CASREPT (-), and months 1, 2, 3 and 4 after overhaul (+), the direction of an explanatory variable's effect cannot be predicted, and it is impossible to identify the reasons for its effect on maintenance downtime.

The distribution of maintenance downtime has a "long tail" -- a few maintenance actions take a long time. The time for most is clustered around the geometric rather than the arithmetic mean. Because of the distribution's skewness, the natural logarithm rather than the actual level of maintenance downtime per CASREPT is regressed on explanatory variables.¹

Findings

Pooled time series cross section data on 10,225 C2 and 3,785 C3/C4 CASREPTs are used to analyze maintenance downtime per CASREPT. Regression results, given in table 6, are obtained using the ordinary least squares estimation procedure.

The F statistic indicates the regression is statistically significant at the .01 level. An R^2 of 0.24 for C2 and 0.21 for C3/C4

¹Although not reported, we also estimate the model using the actual level of maintenance downtime per CASREPT. As expected the log model provided a better fit. For discussion of the statistical procedure used to determine which model better fit the data, see [28], pp. 107-111.

TABLE 6

MAINTENANCE REGRESSION FINDINGS

| Variable | CASREPTS | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | C2 | Elasticity ^b | Effect ^a | Elasticity ^b |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to maintenance downtime | -.67988 (10.82) _C | -.213 | -1.10619 (10.66) _C | -.321 |
| Total hours of steaming underway before CASREPT | .02722 (1.63) | .137 | .11828 (4.40) _C | .629 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not underway to maintenance downtime | -.51621 (5.46) _C | -.101 | -.37973 (2.46) _C | -.074 |
| Total hours of steaming not underway before CASREPT | .02257 (1.28) | .080 | .01206 (.43) | .045 |
| Months since Jan 1970 | .00632 (3.26) _C | .122 | .00157 (.48) | .031 |
| Months since overhaul | -.00650 (1.20) | -.135 | -.02142 (2.63) _C | -.476 |
| Dummy variables: | | | | |
| If any downtime due to supply = 1 | -1.33249 (41.50) _C | | -1.01228 (19.98) _C | |
| Ship class: | | | | |
| DD 931 | .15594 (2.88) _C | | .14717 (1.52) | |
| DDG 2 | -.14306 (2.93) _C | | -.13436 (1.62) | |

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

| Variable | CASREPTS | |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | C2 | C3/C4 |
| | Effect ^a | Effect ^a |
| DDG 31 | -.28042 (2.30) _c | -.32662 (1.28) |
| DDG 35 | -.13927 (1.22) | .32846 (1.73) |
| FF 1036 | -.19724 (.65) | -.87681 (.82) |
| FF 1037 | -.19675 (1.10) | -.21466 (.42) |
| FF 1040 | -.00973 (.12) | .11844 (1.03) |
| FF 1052 | .05546 (.79) | -.16780 (1.49) |
| FFG 1 | -.08412 (.83) | -.21390 (1.61) |
| DDG 40 | .16956 (1.72) | .06251 (.45) |
| CG 16 | -.22091 (3.10) _c | -.07222 (.60) |
| CG 26 | -.05083 (.82) | -.20530 (2.10) _c |

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

| Variable | CASREPTS | |
|--|--|--|
| | C2 | C3/C4 |
| Repair echelon: Technical assistance | Effect ^a .39163 (9.64) _C | Effect ^a .52283 (8.54) _C |
| IMA | .49122 (13.04) _C | .52660 (8.72) _C |
| Overhaul | .69615 (1.73) | .26901 (.47) |
| Drydock | 2.11088 (6.29) _C | 1.56509 (1.81) |
| Months after overhaul dummy variables: One month after overhaul | .55523 (1.43) | .41466 (.67) |
| Two months after overhaul | .08933 (.26) | -.16197 (.32) |
| Three months after overhaul | -.21190 (2.0) _C | -.08436 (.15) |
| Four months after overhaul | -.07052 (.23) | 1.96058 (2.63) _C |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 if Pacific | .05236 (1.43) | .04801 (.80) |

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

| Variable | C2 | | C3/C4 | |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Effect ^a | Effect ^a | Effect ^a | Effect ^a |
| Constant | 5.70 | | 5.15 | |
| Summary statistics: | | | | |
| F | F(28,10196) = 117.21 | | F(28,3866) = 36.09 | |
| R ² | .24 | | .21 | |

23

Sources: Steaming and Fuel Data Master Files and Consolidated CASREPT Reporting Systems.
^at-values given in parentheses.

^bCalculated at the geometric means of maintenance downtime per CASREPT and arithmetic mean of explanatory variables.

^cStatistically significant at the .05 level.

CASREPTs indicates a small proportion of the variation in maintenance downtime per CASREPT is explained by our variables.

To test whether C3/C4 CASREPTs had less maintenance downtime than C2 CASREPTs, we pooled the data and included a dummy variable for C3/C4 CASREPTs. The results indicate that C3/C4 CASREPTs have less maintenance downtime. The effect is statistically significant at the .05 level. These results may be because of shorter administrative delay times for C3/C4 CASREPTs.

The regression model is statistically significant, but it explains only a small percent of the variation in maintenance downtime per CASREPT. Time since overhaul has a negative effect. Past steaming underway and past steaming not underway increase maintenance downtime, while current steaming underway and current steaming not underway reduce it.¹ Maintenance time was much lower for CASREPTs that had to wait for parts and C3/C4 CASREPTs. CASREPTs repaired off ship had more maintenance time. While ship classes had some effects, fleet and cycle dummy variables had none. Finally, maintenance downtime seems to be increasing over time.

¹To measure steaming intensity during the life of a CASREPT, which could be days, weeks, or months, we divided steaming hours during the CASREPT's maintenance period by maintenance time. Thus, "current steaming" for a CASREPT's maintenance period is a ratio: hours steaming (either underway or not underway) to hours of maintenance downtime. In the CASREPT rate analysis, "current steaming" is hours of steaming during the month observed.

Although time since overhaul is negatively related to maintenance downtime per CASREPT, other factors are positively related. The net effect of delaying an overhaul is to increase maintenance time per CASREPT:¹

$$\Delta M = 1.40\Delta t \quad \text{C2 CASREPTs} \quad (5a)$$

$$\Delta M = 1.25\Delta t \quad \text{C3/C4 CASREPTs} \quad (5b)$$

If an overhaul were delayed for one year, maintenance downtime per CASREPT during the extended period would increase; on average, the increase would be 8.4 hours for C2 CASREPTs and 7.5 hours for C3/C4s.²

SUPPLY DOWNTIME PER CASREPT

Regression Model Specification

As in the previous two subsections, direct determinants are listed first together with the expected direction of their effects.

¹Estimated by multiplying $\frac{dM}{M}/dt$ times the geometric mean of M. The geometric mean is used because $\ln M$ rather than the level of M is the dependent variable in the regression model.

²Estimates obtained by evaluating equations (5a) and (5b) at the midpoint (6 months) of the additional year.

1. Parts required per CASREPT (+)
2. Amount of parts reordered (+)
3. Inventory of parts stocked by suppliers (-)
4. Frequency of deliveries from supplies (-)
5. Availability of funds for repair parts (-)

Again, to overcome data problems we identified relationships between direct determinants and measurable factors. These are given below.

1. Parts required per CASREPT (+):
 - Past steaming underway (?)
 - Past steaming not underway (?)
 - Ship class (?)
 - Time since overhaul (?)
2. Amount of parts reordered (+):
 - Past steaming underway (?)
 - Repair echelon (?)
 - Past steaming not underway (?)
 - Ship class (?)
 - Time since overhaul (?)
 - Current steaming underway (?)
 - Current steaming not underway (?)
 - Whether C3/C4 CASREPT (-)
3. Inventory of parts stocked by suppliers (-):
 - Ship class (?)
 - Fleet (?)
4. Frequency of deliveries from suppliers (-):
 - Current steaming underway (-)
 - Fleet (?)
 - Whether C3/C4 CASREPT (+)
5. Special parts requirements (?):
 - Repair echelon (?)
 - Ship class (?)

These variables are listed in tables 7 and 8. We also include the logarithm of the number of months since January 1970 to test for a

TABLE 7
DEFINITIONS, MEAN VALUES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS
OF C2 SUPPLY TIME MODEL VARIABLES

| Variables | Mean values | Standard deviations |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| Hours of C2 CASREPT downtime due to supply | 611.0 ^a | 835.8 |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to CASREPT downtime | .361 | .235 |
| Total hours of past steaming before CASREPT (in thousands) | 3.325 | 2.575 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not underway to CASREPT downtime | .226 | .150 |
| Total hours of past steaming not underway before CASREPT (in thousands) | 2.211 | 1.474 |
| Logarithm of months since Jan 1970 | 2.7 | .90 |
| Months since overhaul | 15.3 | 8.53 |
| Ship classes: | | |
| FRAM: Benchmark class | .27 | .44 |
| DD 931 | .10 | .30 |
| DDG 2 | .21 | .41 |
| DDG 31 | .018 | .13 |
| DDG 35 | .018 | .13 |
| FF 1036 | .0025 | .050 |
| FF 1037 | .0050 | .070 |
| FF 1040 | .060 | .24 |
| FF 1052 | .062 | .24 |
| FFG 1 | .026 | .16 |
| DDG 40 | .031 | .17 |
| CG 16 | .077 | .27 |
| CG 26 | .11 | .32 |
| Repair echelon: | | |
| Repair by ship's crew is benchmark echelon | .71 | .45 |
| If repaired with technical assistance = 1, 0 otherwise | .15 | .36 |
| If repaired at IMA = 1, 0 otherwise | .14 | .35 |
| If repaired at overhaul = 1, 0 otherwise | .0005 | .022 |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 otherwise | .56 | .50 |

^aGeometric mean is 311.0.

TABLE 8

DEFINITIONS, MEAN VALUES AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS
OF C3/C4 SUPPLY TIME MODEL VARIABLES

| Variables | Mean values | Standard deviations |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|
| Hours of C3/C4 CASREPT downtime due to supply | 454.1 ^a | 679.6 |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to CASREPT downtime | .339 | .235 |
| Total hours of steaming in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 3.761 | 2.558 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not under way to CASREPT downtime | .213 | .145 |
| Total hours of steaming not underway in months before CASREPT (in thousands) | 2.458 | 1.666 |
| Logarithm of months since Jan 1970 | 2.80 | .80 |
| Months since overhaul | 17.2 | 9.5 |
| Ship classes: | | |
| FRAM: Benchmark class | .28 | .45 |
| DD 931 | .056 | .23 |
| DDG 2 | .17 | .38 |
| DDG 31 | .0076 | .086 |
| DDG 35 | .021 | .14 |
| FF 1036 | .0009 | .030 |
| FF 1037 | .0014 | .038 |
| FF 1040 | .080 | .27 |
| FF 1052 | .066 | .24 |
| FFG 1 | .049 | .21 |
| DDG 40 | .048 | .21 |
| CG 16 | .068 | .25 |
| CG 26 | .13 | .34 |
| Repair echelon: | | |
| Repair by ship's crew is benchmark echelon | .61 | .49 |
| If repaired with technical assistance = 1, 0 otherwise | .21 | .41 |
| If repaired at IMA = 1, 0 otherwise | .16 | .37 |
| If repaired at overhaul = 1, 0 otherwise | .0014 | .037 |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 otherwise | .62 | .48 |

^aGeometric mean is 224.1.

time trend.

Although a C3/C4 CASREPT should have fewer hours of supply downtime, the direction of other variables' effects could not be predicted. As before, by specifying theoretical relationships we can identify explanatory variables but not the direction of their effects a priori, and we cannot explain the reason for a regression variable's measured effect.

Like maintenance downtime, the distribution of supply downtime has a "long tail" -- a few take a long time. The time for most is clustered around the geometric rather than the arithmetic mean. Because of the skewness of the distribution, the natural logarithm rather than the actual level of supply downtime per CASREPT is regressed on explanatory variables.¹

Findings

Pooled time series cross section data on 6,008 C2 and 2,110 C3/C4 CASREPTs are used to analyze supply downtime. Regression results, given in table 9, are obtained using the ordinary least squares estimation procedure.

¹Although not reported, we also estimate the model using the actual level of supply downtime per CASREPT. As expected, the log model provided a better fit, although neither model fit the data well.

TABLE 9

SUPPLY TIME REGRESSION FINDINGS

| Variable | CASREPTS | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | C2 | Elasticity ^b | Effect ^a | Elasticity ^b |
| Ratio of hours steaming underway to CASREPT downtime | -.07168 (.98) | -.025 | -.15834 (1.24) | -.053 |
| Total hours of past steaming before CASREPT | .00000 (.12) | .000 | .05000 (1.66) | .188 |
| Ratio of hours steaming not underway to CASREPT downtime | -.00451 (4.18) ^c | -.001 | -.33135 (1.79) | -.070 |
| Total hours of past steaming not underway before CASREPT | -.01719 (.32) | -.038 | -.07000 (1.83) | -.172 |
| Logarithm of months since Jan 1970 ^d | -.00217 (2.52) ^c | -.002 | .05603 (.89) | .056 |
| Months since overhaul | .00040 (.06) | .006 | -.00309 (.29) | -.053 |
| Dummy variables: | | | | |
| Ship classes: | | | | |
| DD 931 | .16575 (2.74) ^c | | -.06950 (.53) | |
| DDG 2 | -.05885 (1.16) | | -.55910 (.60) | |
| DDG 31 | .29846 (2.25) ^c | | .23994 (.71) | |

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

| Variable | CASREPTS | |
|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | C2 | C3/C4 |
| | Effect ^a | Effect ^a |
| DDG 35 | .14450 (1.12) | .21670 (1.06) |
| FF 1036 | -.22032 (.66) | -.68137 (.74) |
| FF 1037 | -.38052 (1.62) | .48639 (.64) |
| FF 1040 | .09626 (1.26) | -.25320 _c (2.13) |
| FF 1052 | .14281 (1.81) | -.06640 (.50) |
| FFG 1 | .04844 (.43) | -.07068 (.45) |
| DDG 40 | .37744 _c (3.67) | .40507 _c (2.69) |
| CG 16 | .24812 _c (3.50) | .16448 (1.27) |
| CG 26 | .18639 _c (2.94) | .20658 _c (1.96) |

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

| Variable | CASREPTs | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | C2 Effect ^a | C3/C4 Effect ^a |
| Repair echelon: Technical assistance | .00595 (.12) | .12360 (1.754) |
| IMA | .19972 (4.13) ^c | .01617 (.2049) |
| Overhaul | .20069 (.27) | -.09413 (.1261) |
| Fleet: 1 if Atlantic, 0 if Pacific | .017895 (4.47) ^c | .16651 (2.397) ^c |
| Constant | 5.43 | 5.26 |
| Summary statistics: | | |
| F | F(22, 5985) = 6.66 | F(22, 2087) = 2.10 |
| R ² | .024 | .022 |

Source: Steaming and Fuel Data Master Files and Consolidated CASREPT Reporting Systems
at-values given in parentheses.

^bElasticity evaluated at geometric mean for supply time and arithmetic mean for explanatory variables.

^cStatistically significant at .05 level.

^dUsed logarithm of time variable to reduce multicollinearity with months since overhaul.

The models explain almost none of the variation in supply downtime. Although there are statistically significant relationships, the R^2 is only 0.024 for C2 and 0.022 for C3/C4 CASREPTs.

To test whether C3/C4 CASREPTs had less supply downtime, we pooled the data and included a dummy variable for C3/C4 CASREPTs. The results indicate that C3/C4 CASREPTs have less supply downtime. The impact is statistically significant at the .05 level. These results may be because parts are shipped more quickly for C3/C4 CASREPTs.

Supply time seems to be largely unaffected by explanatory variables, including time since overhaul. Although C3/C4 CASREPTs have less supply downtime, most explanatory factors are not significant. We find evidence, however, that Atlantic fleet ships have more downtime because of supply related factors.¹

The net effect of delaying an overhaul is to increase supply time per CASREPT:

¹Perhaps our most interesting finding is the positive sign of Atlantic fleet, which is statistically significant for both C2 and C3/C4 CASREPTs. From the CASREPT rate analysis, we find Atlantic fleet ships had more CASREPTs. To see if this is because of supply problems, we look at the simple correlation between variables "whether CASREPT for parts" (from the maintenance time regression model) and Atlantic fleet. We find a positive correlation of 0.08, which indicates that Atlantic fleet ships had relatively more CASREPTs because parts were unavailable than did ships in the Pacific fleet.

$$\Delta S = -0.66 \Delta t \quad \text{C2 CASREPTs} \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta S = 0.74 \Delta t \quad \text{C3/C4 CASREPTs} \quad (7)$$

If the overhaul were delayed for one year, supply time per CASREPT would on the average decrease by 4.0 hours for C2 CASREPTs and increase by 4.4 hours for C3/C4s.

DELAYING OVERHAULS AND CASREPT DOWNTIME

The effects on CASREPT downtime of delaying an overhaul for one year are given in table 10. In the additional year, downtime increases by 864 hours for C3/C4 CASREPTs. This represents only a 6.3 percent increase compared to the number of hours that would have occurred had the overhaul taken place in the previous year. Downtime declines by 692 hours for C2 CASREPTs, a slight drop of 1.6 percent. Thus, downtime is hardly affected by extending the ship's cycle for one year.

TABLE 10
EFFECTS ON CASREPT DOWNTIME OF DELAYING AN OVERHAUL FOR ONE YEAR^a

| Type of CASREPT | Annual change in the number of CASREPTs | Change in hours of maintenance time per CASREPT | Change in hours of supply time for parts CASREPTs | Annual change in expected hours of CASREPT downtime | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---------|
| | | | | Actual | Percent |
| C2 | -1 (48) | +8.4 (560) | -4.0 (600) | -673 (43,315) | -1.6 |
| C3/C4 | 1 (21) | +7.5 (380) | +4.4 (460) | 864 (13,730) | +6.3 |

^aNumbers in parentheses are estimated values of C, M, S, and CASREPT downtime in the year prior to overhaul (rather than at the midpoint of the observations given in earlier tables). They are the base levels to which the changes given in the table must be added to yield values of C, M, S, and CASREPT downtime in the last year prior to a ship's overhaul.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides evidence which suggests that overhauls of destroyers may have been undertaken too frequently in 1970-75. The typical ship was overhauled after just 3.5 years of service. Those overhauled later had only slightly more C3/C4 CASREPT downtime; they also had slightly less C2 CASREPT downtime. Consequently, if destroyers are overhauled after just 3.5 years of service, the benefits do not seem to justify the large direct costs, plus the costs of building and operating additional ships to compensate for the unavailability of those in overhaul.¹

Since 1970-75, the policy of the Navy has been to extend ships' cycles to some degree. The Navy should continue this policy of extending them, until it is clear that an overhaul is undertaken when the benefits justify the costs.

Our study may be limited for two reasons. First, a typical ship's cycle is now longer than it was in 1970-75, and we would not want to extrapolate our findings beyond the range of most observations. However, the Navy is now undertaking more scheduled maintenance while a ship is in service. This should prevent increases in the

¹Instead, programs aimed at increasing the availability of parts and the capability of maintenance personnel might be more cost effective in reducing CASREPT downtime. However, evidence on the effects of such programs is highly tentative or unavailable. See [3], [9], and [10].

number of equipment failures, so further extending ships' cycles may still be appropriate. Second, CASREPT data measure only serious equipment failures that cannot be repaired quickly. This leaves out less serious equipment failures and serious ones that are repaired within a short period; perhaps the number of these failures increase over time.

The Navy should measure the relationship between the failure rate of all equipment and time since overhaul for ships operating under the new maintenance system, and use this information in determining when to schedule ships for overhauls.

REFERENCES

1. Aitchison, J. and Brown, J.A.C., "The Lognormal Distribution," London: Cambridge University Press, 1967
2. Anderson, Joseph F., CAPT, USN, "Visability and Management of Support Cost-Ships," Unclassified, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OP-96), 30 Sept 1976
3. Bagby, James L., CDR, USN, "Second Advisory Committee Briefing on Shipboard Parts Allowance Policy Study," CNA Memorandum 80-0002.10, 4 Jan 1980
4. CNA Memorandum 76-1757.10, "Changes in 3-M Reporting Requirements," Feb 1977
5. CNA, CNS 103, "Ship Overhaul Cost Estimating Relationships (SOCER) Study," Oct 1974
6. Dummer, G.W.A., and Wiston, R.C. "An Elementary Guide to Reliability," Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1974
7. Goldman, A.S., and Slattery, T.B. "Maintainability: A Major Element of System Effectiveness," New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964
8. Gross, Alan J. and Clark, Virginia A. "Survival Distributions: Reliability Applications in the Biomedical Sciences, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1975
9. Hibbs, Norma, "Impact of EMRM on the Material Condition of Ships," CNA Memorandum 77-1856, 21 Dec 1977
10. Horowitz, Stanley and Sherman, Allan, CDR, USN, "Ship Condition and Personnel Performance," CNS 1090, 15 Mar 1977
11. Koln, Samuel and Taylor, Howard M. "A First Course in Stochastic Processes," Academic Press, New York, 1975
12. Kaviat, P.J. "Manpower Requirements Prediction and Allocation for Unscheduled Maintenance on Aircraft," RAND: RM-5215-PR, Feb 1967
13. Kirsch, Walter J., LCDR., USN, "A Model for Predicting Operational Readiness Evaluation Scores for Surface Escorts," CNA Memorandum 77-0154, 25 Feb 1977
14. Lavallee, William, "Aircraft Periodic Depot Level Maintenance Study (U)," Unclassified, CNA, CNS 1025, Nov 1974

15. Levine, Daniel and Hibbs, Norma, "Factors Underlying Recent Trends in the Operational Availability of Shipboard Equipment (Material Support Study)," Unclassified, CNA, CNS 1080, Nov 1976
16. Lewis, Chantee, CAPT, USN, "The Use of Simultaneous Equation Models for Decisions Pertaining to the 'Best' Mix Between Aircraft, Spare Parts, Support Equipment, and Support Personnel," CNA Research Contribution 206, May 1972
17. Locks, Mitchell O., "Reliability, Maintainability, and Availability Assessment," Hayden Book Company, Rochelle Park, New Jersey, 1973
18. Logistic Management Institute, "Study of the Navy Ship Maintenance Program, Vol. II - Measures of Effectiveness of Ship Maintenance Policy," Task 69-19, Mar 1971
19. Lutz, Gerald C. and Wasowski, Walter M., "An Investigation Into CRUDESPEC CASREPTs and Their Relation to Material Support," Unclassified, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, March 1975
20. Maddala, G.S., "Econometrics," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977
21. Mann, Nancy R.; Schaffer, Ray E.; and Singpurwalla, Nozer D. "Methods for Statistical Analysis of Reliability and Life Data," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1974
22. Mattesom, Thomas D., "The Design of Modern Air Transport Maintenance Programs," United Air Lines, Nov 1972
23. Navy Fleet Material Support Office, "Consolidated CASREPT Reporting System Reports Catalog," Oct 1974
24. Nerlove, Marc, "Future Evidence of the Estimation of Dynamic Economic Relations from a Time Series of Cross Section," Econometrica (XXXIX(2)): 359-82, Mar 1971
25. Oberman, Marvin Dean, "A Review of the Justification Process for the NavSup Operations and Maintenance Budget," Unclassified, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, Sep 1974
26. Office of Chief of Naval Operations, "FY 1978 Major Issue: Impact of Oil Prices on Naval Operations," (Op-96)
27. Purl, Jack, LCDR, USN, "A Report of the Pacific Fleet Equipment Maintenance Related Material (EMRM) Test Program," Dec 1976

28. Rao, P. and Miller, R.L., "Applied Econometrics," Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1971
29. Shepherd, F.W.; Elliff, G.A., Jr.; and Wroblewski, P.J.; "Surface Ship Maintenance," Task 74-21, Logistics Management Institute, Jan 1975
30. Smith, Charles, "Why and What is Reliability-Centered Maintenance," OASD(I&L) BD, 11 May 1976
31. Smith, Ronald L., "A Briefing on Operating Tempo versus Readiness of Surface Escorts," CNA Memorandum 77-0990, 28 Jun 1977
32. Sprey, P.M.; Loper, M.L.; Das, E.S.P.; and Fish, J., "Analysis of FF 1052 Availability Experience: Phase I Report," American Management Systems, Inc., Jul 12, 1977
33. Sutton, S. Scott, "A Study of Aviation Resources and Readiness Relationships," Unclassified, CNA Study 32, Jun 1970
34. Sweetland, Anders, "Some Statistical Methods for Maintenance Analysis," RAND: RM-4443-PR, Apr 1966
35. System Enhancement Services Company, Inc., "An Analysis of Maintenance Strategies for the U.S. Navy Aircraft Carriers," Sep 1975
36. Tullier, P.M.; Kisinger, T.J.; and Wroblewski, P.J., "Effect of Overhaul on Ship Material Condition," ARINC Research Corp., Oct 1976
37. U.S. Department of the Navy, "Historical Budget Data," Office of the Comptroller, NCB-35, 30 April 1979
38. Van Atta, Richard and Hutzler, William, "Assessment of Existing Data System for Measuring Material Readiness," Mathtech, Inc., Oct 1976
39. Vesco, S.D., "A Study of Mean Supply Response Time," Search Report 6, 26 Jan 1970
40. Zehna, P.W., "Reliability Models," Chapter 10, Selected Methods and Models in Military Operations Research, U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug 1971

CNA Professional Papers — 1976 to Present*

PP 141

Mizrahi, Maurice M. "Generalized Hermite Polynomials," 5 pp., Feb 1976 (Reprinted from the Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 1, No. 4 (1975) 273-277).
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation

PP 143

Horowitz, Stanley and Sherman, Allan (LCDR, USN). "Maintenance Personnel Effectiveness in the Navy," 33 pp., Jan 1976 (Presented at the RAND Conference on Defense Manpower, Feb 1976) AD A021 581

PP 144

Durch, William J. "The Navy of the Republic of China — History, Problems, and Prospects," 66 pp., Aug 1976 (Published in "A Guide to Asiatic Fleets," ed. by Barry M. Blechman and Robert Berman, Naval Institute Press) AD A030 460

PP 145

Kelly, Anne M. "Port Visits and the 'Internationalist Mission' of the Soviet Navy," 36 pp., Apr 1976, AD A023 436

PP 147

Kessler, J. Christian. "Legal Issues in Protecting Offshore Structures," 33 pp., Jun 1976 (Prepared under task order N00014-68 A-0091-0023 for ONR) AD A028 389

PP 149

Squires, Michael L. "Counterforce Effectiveness: A Comparison of the Tsipis 'K' Measure and a Computer Simulation," 24 pp., Mar 1976 (Presented at the International Study Association Meetings, 27 Feb 1976) AD A022 591

PP 150

Kelly, Anne M. and Petersen, Charles. "Recent Changes in Soviet Naval Policy: Prospects for Arms Limitations in the Mediterranean and Indian Ocean," 28 pp., Apr 1976, AD A 023 723

PP 151

Horowitz, Stanley A. "The Economic Consequences of Political Philosophy," 8 pp., Apr 1976 (Reprinted from Economic Inquiry, Vol. XIV, No. 1, Mar 1976)

PP 152

Mizrahi, Maurice M. "On Path Integral Solutions of the Schrodinger Equation Without Limiting Procedure," 10 pp., Apr 1976 (Reprinted from Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 17, No. 4 (Apr 1976), 566-576)
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation

PP 153

Mizrahi, Maurice M. "WKB Expansions by Path Integrals, With Applications to the Anharmonic Oscillator," 137 pp., May 1976, AD A025 440
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation

PP 154

Mizrahi, Maurice M. "On the Semi-Classical Expansion in Quantum Mechanics for Arbitrary Hamiltonians," 19 pp., May 1976 (Published in Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 789-790, Apr 1977), AD A025 441

PP 155

Squires, Michael L. "Soviet Foreign Policy and Third World Nations," 26 pp., Jun 1976 (Prepared for presentation at the Midwest Political Science Association meetings, Apr 30, 1976) AD A028 388

PP 156

Stallings, William. "Approaches to Chinese Character Recognition," 12 pp., Jun 1976 (Reprinted from Pattern Recognition (Pergamon Press), Vol. 8, pp. 87-98, 1976) AD A028 692

PP 157

Morgan, William F. "Unemployment and the Pentagon Budget: Is There Anything in the Empty Pork Barrel?" 20 pp., Aug 1976 AD A030 455

PP 158

Haskell, LCDR. Richard D (USN). "Experimental Validation of Probability Predictions," 25 pp., Aug 1976 (Presented at the Military Operations Research Society Meeting, Fall 1976) AD A030 458

PP 159

McConnell, James M. "The Gorshkov Articles, The New Gorshkov Book and Their Relation to Policy," 93 pp., Jul 1976 (Published in Soviet Naval Influence: Domestic and Foreign Dimensions, ed. by M. McGwire and J. McDonnell, New York Praeger, 1977) AD A029 227

PP 160

Wilson, Desmond P., Jr. "The U.S. Sixth Fleet and the Conventional Defense of Europe," 50 pp., Sep 1976, AD A030 457

PP 161

Melich, Michael E. and Peet, Vice Adm. Ray (USN, Retired). "Fleet Commanders: Afloat or Ashore?" 9 pp., Aug 1976 (Reprinted from U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, Jun 1976) AD A030 456

PP 162

Friedheim, Robert L. "Parliamentary Diplomacy," 106 pp., Sep 1976 AD A033 306

PP 163

Lockman, Robert F. "A Model for Predicting Recruit Losses," 9 pp., Sep 1976 (Presented at the 84th annual convention of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C., 4 Sep 1976) (Published in Defense Manpower Policy (Richard V. L. Cooper, ed.), The Rand Corporation, 1979), AD A030 459

PP 164

Mahoney, Robert B., Jr. "An Assessment of Public and Elite Perceptions in France, The United Kingdom, and the Federal Republic of Germany," 31 pp., Feb 1977 (Presented at Conference "Perception of the U.S. — Soviet Balance and the Political Uses of Military Power" sponsored by Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency, April 1976) AD A036 599

PP 165

Jondrow, James M. "Effects of Trade Restrictions on Imports of Steel," 67 pp., November 1976, (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976)

PP 166 — Revised

Feldman, Paul. "Why It's Difficult to Change Regulation," Oct 1976, AD A037 682

PP 167

Kleinman, Samuel. "ROTC Service Commitments: A Comment," 4 pp., Nov 1976, (Published in Public Choice, Vol. XXIV, Fall 1976) AD A033 305

PP 168

Lockman, Robert F. "Revalidation of CNA Support Personnel Selection Measures," 36 pp., Nov 1976

PP 169

Jacobson, Louis S. "Earnings Losses of Workers Displaced from Manufacturing Industries," 38 pp., Nov 1976, (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976), AD A039 806

PP 170

Brechling, Frank P. "A Time Series Analysis of Labor Turnover," Nov 1976 (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976)

PP 171

Jordan, A. S. and Ralston, J. M. "A Diffusion Model for GaP Red LED Degradation," 10 pp., Nov 1976, (Published in Journal of Applied Physics Vol. 47, pp. 4518-4527, Oct 1976)
*Bell Laboratories

PP 172

Classen, Kathleen P. "Unemployment Insurance and the Length of Unemployment," Dec 1976, (Presented at the University of Rochester Labor Workshop on 16 Nov 1976)

PP 173

Kleinman, Samuel D. "A Note on Racial Differences in the Added Worker/Discouraged Worker Controversy," 2 pp., Dec 1976, (Published in the American Economist, Vol. XX, No. 1 Spring 1976)

PP 174

Mahoney, Robert B., Jr. "A Comparison of the Brookings and International Incidents Projects," 12 pp., Feb 1977 AD A037 206

PP 175

Levine, Daniel Stolfoff, Peter and Spruill, Nancy. "Public Drug Treatment and Addict Crime," June 1976, (Published in Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2)

PP 176

Felix, Wendi. "Correlates of Retention and Promotion for USNA Graduates," 38 pp., Mar 1977 AD A039 040

PP 177

Lockman, Robert F. and Warner, John T. "Predicting Attrition: A Test of Alternative Approaches," 33 pp., Mar 1977 (Presented at the OSD/ONR Conference on Enlisted Attrition, Xerox International Training Center, Leesburg, Virginia 4-7 April 1977) AD A039 047

PP 178

Kleinman, Samuel D. "An Evaluation of Navy Unrestricted Line Officer Accession Programs," 23 pp., April 1977, (Presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stress, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 048

*CNA Professional Papers with an AD number may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22151. Other papers are available from the Management Information Office, Center for Naval Analyses, 2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311. An Index of Selected Publications is also available on request. The index includes a Listing of Professional Papers, with abstracts, issued from 1969 to February 1978.

- PP 179
Stoloff, Peter H. and Balut, Stephen J., "Vacate: A Model for Personnel Inventory Planning Under Changing Management Policy," 14 pp. April 1977, (Presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stresa, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 049
- PP 180
Horowitz, Stanley A. and Sherman, Allan, "The Characteristics of Naval Personnel and Personnel Performance," 16 pp. April 1977, (Presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stresa, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 050
- PP 181
Balut, Stephen J. and Stoloff, Peter, "An Inventory Planning Model for Navy Enlisted Personnel," 35 pp., May 1977 (Prepared for presentation at the Joint National Meeting of the Operations Research Society of America and The Institute for Management Science, 9 May 1977, San Francisco, California), AD A042 221
- PP 182
Murray, Russell, 2nd, "The Quest for the Perfect Study or My First 1138 Days at CNA," 57 pp., April 1977
- PP 183
Kassing, David, "Changes in Soviet Naval Forces," 33 pp., November, 1976, (Published as part of Chapter 3, "General Purpose Forces, Navy and Marine Corps," in Arms, Men, and Military Budgets, Francis P. Hoerber and William Schneider, Jr. (eds.), (Crane, Russak & Company, Inc.: New York), 1977), AD A040 106
- PP 184
Lockman, Robert F., "An Overview of the OSD/ONR Conference on First Term Enlisted Attrition," 22 pp., June 1977, (Presented to the 39th MORS Working Group on Manpower and Personnel Planning, Annapolis, Md., 28-30 Jun 1977), AD A043 618
- PP 185
Kassing, David, "New Technology and Naval Forces in the South Atlantic," 22 pp. (This paper was the basis for a presentation made at the Institute for Foreign Policy Analyses, Cambridge, Mass., 28 April 1977), AD A043 619
- PP 186
Mizrahi, Maurice M., "Phase Space Integrals, With out Limiting Procedure," 31 pp., May 1977, (Invited paper presented at the 1977 NATO Institute on Path Integrals and Their Application in Quantum Statistical, and Solid State Physics, Antwerp, Belgium, July 17-30, 1977) (Published in Journal of Mathematical Physics 19(1), pp. 298-307, Jan 1978), AD A040 107
- PP 187
Coile, Russell G., "Nomography for Operations Research," 35 pp., April 1977 (Presented at the Joint National Meeting of the Operations Research Society of America and The Institute for Management Services, San Francisco, California, 9 May 1977), AD A043 620
- PP 188
Durch, William J., "Information Processing and Outcome Forecasting for Multilateral Negotiations: Testing One Approach," 53 pp., May 1977 (Prepared for presentation to the 18th Annual Convention of the International Studies Association, Chase-Park Plaza Hotel, St. Louis, Missouri, March 16-20, 1977), AD A042 222
- PP 189
Coile, Russell G., "Error Detection in Computerized Information Retrieval Data Bases," July, 1977, 13 pp. (Presented at the Sixth Cranfield International Conference on Mechanized Information Storage and Retrieval Systems, Cranfield Institute of Technology, Cranfield, Bedford, England, 26-29 July 1977), AD A043 580
- PP 190
Mahoney, Robert B., Jr., "European Perceptions and East-West Competition," 96 pp., July 1977 (Prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the International Studies Association, St. Louis, Mo., March, 1977), AD A043 661
- PP 191
Sawyer, Ronald, "The Independent Field Assignment: One Man's View," August 1977, 25 pp.
- PP 192
Holen, Arlene, "Effects of Unemployment Insurance Entitlement on Duration and Job Search Outcome," August 1977, 6 pp., (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol., 30, No. 4, Jul 1977)
- PP 193
Horowitz, Stanley A., "A Model of Unemployment Insurance and the Work Test," August 1977, 7 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 194
Classen, Kathleen P., "The Effects of Unemployment Insurance on the Duration of Unemployment and Subsequent Earnings," August 1977, 7 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 195
Brechling, Frank, "Unemployment Insurance Taxes and Labor Turnover: Summary of Theoretical Findings," 12 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 196
Ralston, J. M. and Lorimer, O. G., "Degradation of Bulk Electroluminescent Efficiency in Zn, O-Doped GaP LEDs," July 1977, 3 pp. (Reprinted from IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. ED-24, No. 7, July 1977)
- PP 197
Wells, Anthony R., "The Centre for Naval Analyses," 14 pp., Dec 1977, AD A049 107
- PP 198
Classen, Kathleen P., "The Distributional Effects of Unemployment Insurance," 25 pp., Sept. 1977 (Presented at a Hoover Institution Conference on Income Distribution, Oct 7-8, 1977), AD A054 423
- PP 199
Durch, William J., "Revolution From A.F.A.R. - The Cuban Armed Forces in Africa and the Middle East," Sep 1977, 16 pp., AD A046 268
- PP 200
Powers, Bruce F., "The United States Navy," 40 pp. Dec 1977 (Published as a chapter in The U.S. War Machine by Salamander Books, England, 1978), AD A049 108
- PP 201
Durch, William J., "The Cuban Military in Africa and The Middle East: From Algeria to Angola," Sep 1977, 67 pp., AD A045 675
- PP 202
Feldman, Paul, "Why Regulation Doesn't Work," (Reprinted from Technological Change and Welfare in the Regulated Industries, Brookings Reprint 219 1971, and Review of Social Economy, Vol. XXIX March, 1971, No. 1.) Sep 1977, 8 pp.
- PP 203
Feldman, Paul, "Efficiency, Distribution, and the Role of Government in a Market Economy," (Reprinted from The Journal of Political Economy Vol. 79, No. 3, May/June 1971.) Sep 1977, 19 pp., AD A045 675
- PP 204
Wells, Anthony R., "The 1967 June War Soviet Naval Diplomacy and The Sixth Fleet - A Re-appraisal," Oct 1977, 36 pp., AD A047 236
- PP 205
Coile, Russell G., "A Bibliometric Examination of the Square Root Theory of Scientific Publication Productivity," (Presented at the annual meeting of the American Society for Information Science, Chicago, Illinois, 29 September 1977.) Oct 1977, 6 pp., AD A047 237
- PP 206
McConnell, James M., "Strategy and Missions of the Soviet Navy in the Year 2000," 48 pp., Nov 1977 (Presented at a Conference on Problems of Sea Power as we Approach the 21st Century, sponsored by the American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research, 6 October 1977, and subsequently published in a collection of papers by the Institute), AD A047 244
- PP 207
Goldberg, Lawrence, "Cost Effectiveness of Potential Federal Policies Affecting Research & Development Expenditures in the Auto, Steel and Food Industries," 36 pp., Oct 1977, (Presented at Southern Economic Association Meetings beginning 2 November 1977), AD A046 269
- PP 208
Roberts, Stephen S., "The Decline of the Overseas Station Fleets: The United States Asiatic Fleet and the Shanghai Crisis, 1932," 18 pp., Nov 1977 (Reprinted from The American Neptune, Vol. XXXVII No. 3, July 1977), AD A047 245
- PP 209 - Classified.
- PP 210
Kassing, David, "Protecting The Fleet," 40 pp., Dec 1977 (Prepared for the American Enterprise Institute Conference on Problems of Sea Power as We Approach the 21st Century, October 6-7 1977), AD A049 109
- PP 211
Mizrahi, Maurice M., "On Approximating the Circular Coverage Function," 14 pp., Feb 1978, AD A054 425
- PP 212
Mangel, Marc, "On Singular Characteristic Initial Value Problems with Unique Solutions," 20 pp., Jun 1978, AD A058 535
- PP 213
Mangel, Marc, "Fluctuations in Systems with Multiple Steady States: Application to Lancaster Equations," 12 pp., Feb 78, (Presented at the First Annual Workshop on the Information Linkage Between Applied Mathematics and Industry, Naval PG School, Feb 23-25, 1978), AD A071 472

- PP 214
Weinland, Robert G., "A Somewhat Different View of The Optimal Naval Posture," 37 pp., Jun 1978 (Presented at the 1978 Convention of the American Political Science Association (APSA/IUS Panel on "Changing Strategic Requirements and Military Posture"), Chicago, Ill., September 2, 1976), AD A056 228
- PP 215
Coile, Russell C., "Comments on: Principles of Information Retrieval by Manfred Kochen," 10 pp., Mar 78, (Published as a Letter to the Editor, Journal of Documentation, Vol. 31, No. 4, pages 298-301, December 1975), AD A054 426
- PP 216
Coile, Russell C., "Lotka's Frequency Distribution of Scientific Productivity," 18 pp., Feb 1978, (Published in the Journal of the American Society for Information Science, Vol. 28, No. 6, pp. 366-370, November 1977), AD A054 425
- PP 217
Coile, Russell C., "Bibliometric Studies of Scientific Productivity," 17 pp., Mar 78, (Presented at the Annual meeting of the American Society for Information Science held in San Francisco, California, October 1976), AD A054 442
- PP 218 - Classified.
- PP 219
Huntzinger, R. LaVer, "Market Analysis with Rational Expectations: Theory and Estimation," 60 pp., Apr 78, AD A054 422
- PP 220
Maurer, Donald E., "Diagonalization by Group Matrices," 26 pp., Apr 78, AD A054 443
- PP 221
Weinland, Robert G., "Superpower Naval Diplomacy in the October 1973 Arab-Israeli War," 76 pp., Jun 1978 (Published in Seapower in the Mediterranean: Political Utility and Military Constraints, The Washington Papers No. 61, Beverly Hills and London: Sage Publications, 1979) AD A055 564
- PP 222
Mizrahi, Maurice M., "Correspondence Rules and Path Integrals," 30 pp., Jun 1978 (Invited paper presented at the CNRS meeting on "Mathematical Problems in Feynman's Path Integrals," Marseille, France, May 22-26, 1978) (Published in Springer Verlag Lecture Notes in Physics, 106, (1979), 234-253) AD A055 536
- PP 223
Mangel, Marc, "Stochastic Mechanics of Molecular Reaction Reactions," 21 pp., Jun 1978, AD A056 227
- PP 224
Mangel, Marc, "Aggregation, Bifurcation, and Extinction in Exploited Animal Populations," 48 pp., Mar 1978, AD A059 536
*Portions of this work were started at the Institute of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
- PP 225
Mangel, Marc, "Oscillations, Fluctuations, and the Hopf Bifurcation," 43 pp., Jun 1978, AD A058 537
*Portions of this work were completed at the Institute of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
- PP 226
Rakston, J. M. and J. W. Mann*, "Temperature and Current Dependence of Degradation in Red-Emitting GaP LEDs," 34 pp., Jun 1978 (Published in Journal of Applied Physics, 50, 3630, May 1979) AD A058 538
*Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc.
- PP 227
Mangel, Marc, "Uniform Treatment of Fluctuations at Critical Points," 50 pp., May 1978, AD A058 539
- PP 228
Mangel, Marc, "Relaxation at Critical Points: Deterministic and Stochastic Theory," 54 pp., Jun 1978, AD A058 540
- PP 229
Mangel, Marc, "Diffusion Theory of Reaction Rates. I: Formulation and Einstein-Smoluchowski Approximation," 50 pp., Jan 1978, AD A058 541
- PP 230
Mangel, Marc, "Diffusion Theory of Reaction Rates. II Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Approximation," 34 pp., Feb 1978, AD A058 542
- PP 231
Wilson, Desmond P., Jr., "Naval Projection Forces The Case for a Responsive MAF," Aug 1978, AD A058 543
- PP 232
Jacobson, Louis, "Can Policy Changes Be Made Acceptable to Labor?" Aug 1978 (Submitted for publication in Industrial and Labor Relations Review), AD A061 528
- PP 233
Jacobson, Louis, "An Alternative Explanation of the Cyclical Pattern of Quittes," 23 pp., Sep 1978
- PP 234 - Revised
Jondrow, James and Levy, Robert A., "Does Federal Expenditure Displace State and Local Expenditure: The Case of Construction Grants," 25 pp., Oct 1979
- PP 235
Mizrahi, Maurice M., "The Semiclassical Expansion of the Anharmonic Oscillator Propagator," 41 pp., Oct 1978 (Published in Journal of Mathematical Physics 20 (1979), pp. 844-855), AD A061 538
- PP 237
Maurer, Donald, "A Matrix Criterion for Normal Integral Bases," 10 pp., Jan 1979 (Published in the Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol 22 (1978), pp 672-681)
- PP 238
Utgoff, Kathleen Classen, "Unemployment Insurance and The Employment Rate," 20 pp., Oct 1978 (Presented at the Conference on Economic Indicators and Performance: The Current Dilemma Facing Government and Business Leaders, presented by Indiana University Graduate School of Business), AD A061 527
- PP 239
Trost, R. P. and Warner, J. T., "The Effects of Military Occupational Training on Civilian Earnings: An Income Selectivity Approach," 38 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 831
- PP 240
Powers, Bruce, "Goals of the Center for Naval Analyses," 13 pp., Dec 1978, AD A063 759
- PP 241
Mangel, Marc, "Fluctuations at Chemical Instabilities," 24 pp., Dec 1978 (Published in Journal of Chemical Physics, Vol. 68, No. 8, Oct 15, 1978), AD A063 787
- PP 242
Simpson, William R., "The Analysis of Dynamically Interactive Systems (Air Combat by the Numbers)," 160 pp., Dec 1978, AD A063 760
- PP 243
Simpson, William R., "A Probabilistic Formulation of Murphy Dynamics as Applied to the Analysis of Operational Research Problems," 18 pp., Dec 1978, AD A063 761
- PP 244
Sherman, Allan and Norowitz, Stanley A., "Maintenance Costs of Complex Equipment," 20 pp., Dec 1978 (Published By The American Society of Naval Engineers, Naval Engineers Journal, Vol. 91, No. 6, Dec 1979) AD A071 473
- PP 245
Simpson, William R., "The Accelerometer Methods of Obtaining Aircraft Performance from Flight Test Data (Dynamic Performance Testing)," 403 pp., Jun 1979, AD A075 226
- PP 246
Brechling, Frank, "Layoffs and Unemployment Insurance," 35 pp., Feb 1979 (Presented at the NBER Conference on "Low Income Labor Markets," Chicago, Jun 1978)
- PP 248
Thomas, James A., Jr., "The Transport Properties of Dilute Gases in Applied Fields," 183 pp., Mar 1979
- PP 249
Glasser, Kenneth S., "A Secretary Problem with a Random Number of Choices," 23 pp., Mar 1979
- PP 250
Mangel, Marc, "Modeling Fluctuations in Macroscopic Systems," 26 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 251
Trost, Robert P., "The Estimation and Interpretation of Several Selectivity Models," 37 pp., Jun 1979, AD A075 941
- PP 252
Nunn, Walter R., "Position Finding with Prior Knowledge of Covariance Parameters," 5 pp., Jun 1979 (Published in IEEE Transactions on Aerospace & Electronic Systems, Vol. AES-15, No. 3, March 1979)
- PP 253
Glasser, Kenneth S., "The d-Choice Secretary Problem," 32 pp., Jun 1979, AD A075 225
- PP 254
Mangel, Marc and Quanbeck, David B., "Integration of a Bivariate Normal Over an Offset Circle," 14 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 255 - Classified
- PP 256
Maurer, Donald E., "Using Personnel Distribution Models," 27 pp., Feb 1980

- PP 257
Thaler, R., "Discounting and Fiscal Constraints: Why Discounting is Always Right," 10 pp., Aug 1979, AD A075 224
- PP 258
Mangel, Marc S. and Thomas, James A., Jr. "Analytical Methods in Search Theory," 86 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 832
- PP 259
Glass, David V.; Hsu, Ih Ching; Nunn, Walter R. and Perin, David A., "A Class of Commutative Markov Matrices," 17 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 833
- PP 260
Mangel, Marc S. and Cope, Davis K., "Detection Rate and Sweep Width in Visual Search," 14 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 834
- PP 261
Vila, Carlos L.; Zujac, David J. and Ross, John "Franck Condon Theory of Chemical Dynamics. VI. Angular Distributions of Reaction Products," 14 pp., Nov 1979 (Reprinted from Journal Chem Phys 70(12), 15 Jun 1979), AD A076 287
- PP 262
Peterson, Charles C., "Third World Military Elites in Soviet Perspective," 50 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 835
- PP 263
Robinson, Kathy L., "Using Commercial Tankers and Containerships for Navy Underway Replenishment," 25 pp., Nov 1979, AD A077 836
- PP 264
Weinland, Robert G., "The U.S. Navy in the Pacific: Past, Present, and Glances of the Future," 31 pp., Nov 1979 (Delivered at the International Symposium on the Sea, sponsored by the International Institute for Strategic Studies, The Brookings Institution and the Yomiuri Shimbun, Tokyo, 16-20 Oct 1978), AD A066 837
- PP 265
Weinland, Robert G., "War and Peace in the North: Some Political Implications of the Changing Military Situation in Northern Europe," 18 pp., Nov 1979 (Prepared for presentation to the "Conference of the Nordic Balance in Perspective: The Changing Military and Political Situation," Center for Strategic and International Studies, Georgetown University, Jun 15-16, 1978), AD A077 838
- PP 266
Utsoff, Kathy; Classen, and Brechling, Frank, "Taxes and Inflation," 25 pp., Nov 1979
- PP 267
Trost, Robert P. and Vogel, Robert C., "The Response of State Government Receipts to Economic Fluctuations and the Allocation of Counter-Cyclical Revenue Sharing Grants," 12 pp., Dec 1979 (Reprinted from the Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. LXI, No. 3, August 1979)
- PP 268
Thomason, James S., "Seaport Dependence and Inter-State Cooperation: The Case of Sub-Saharan Africa," 141 pp., Jan 1980
- PP 269
Weiss, Kenneth G., "The Soviet Involvement in the Ogaden War," 42 pp., Jan 1980 (Presented at the Southern Conference on Slavic Studies in October 1979)
- PP 270
Remnick, Richard, "Soviet Policy in the Horn of Africa: The Decision to Intervene," 52 pp., Jan 1980 (To be published in "The Soviet Union in the Third World: Success or Failure," ed. by Robert H. Donaldson, Westview Press, Boulder, Co., Summer 1980)
- PP 271
McConnell, James, "Soviet and American Strategic Doctrines: One More Time," 43 pp., Jan 1980
- PP 272
Weiss, Kenneth G., "The Azores in Diplomacy and Strategy, 1940-1945," 46 pp., Mar 1980
- PP 273
Nakada, Michael K., "Labor Supply of Wives with Husbands Employed Either Full Time or Part Time," 39 pp., Mar 1980
- PP 275
Goldberg, Lawrence, "Recruiters: Advertising and Navy Enlistments," 34 pp., Mar 1980
- PP 276
Goldberg, Lawrence, "Delaying an Overhaul and Ship's Equipment," 40 pp., May 1980
- PP 277
Mangel, Marc, "Small Fluctuations in Systems with Multiple Limit Cycles," 19 pp., Mar 1980 (Published in SIAM J. Appl. Math., Vol. 38, No. 1, Feb 1980)
- PP 278
Mizruchi, Maurice, "A Targeting Problem: Exact vs. Expected Value Approaches," 23 pp., Apr 1980
- PP 279
Walt, Stephen M., "Causal Inferences and the Use of Force: A Critique of Force Without War," 50 pp., May 1980
- PP 280
Goldberg, Lawrence, "Estimation of the Effects of A Ship's Steaming on the Failure Rate of its Equipment: An Application of Econometric Analysis," 25 pp., April 1980
- PP 283
Dismukes, Bradford, "Expected Demand for the U.S. Navy to Serve as An Instrument of U.S. Foreign Policy: Thinking About Political and Military Environmental Factors," 30 pp., Apr 1980
- PP 284
J. Neilson,* W. Nunn, and U. Sumita,** "The L'aguerra Transform," 119 pp., May 1980
*The Graduate School of Management, University of Rochester and the Center for Naval Analyses
**The Graduate School of Management, University of Rochester